



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,220	03/30/2004	Aravind Yalamanchi	50277-2415	7098

43425 7590 08/08/2008

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER/ORACLE

2055 GATEWAY PLACE

SUITE 550

SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1083

EXAMINER

STEVENS, ROBERT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2162

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/08/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/815,220

**Applicant(s)**

YALAMANCHI, ARAVIND

**Examiner**

ROBERT STEVENS

**Art Unit**

2162

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Stevens, USPTO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Adam C. Stone, Reg. No. 60,531.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 42-59.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barrett, Yalamanchi, Liu, Kumar.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties discussed Applicant's inventive subject matter and compared the subject matter / claims to the previously cited art and to conventional ECA rule processing for composite events. Applicant has previously filed a RCE on 7/28/2008. No agreement was reached at this point.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert Stevens/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2162

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.